UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,401	02/03/2006	Bernhard Gallnbock	GALLNBOCK-1 (PCT)	2561
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 11/30/2009 ROE, P.C.	I	EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		BAINBRIDGE, ANDREW PHILIP	
ROSLYN, NY	11370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,401	GALLNBOCK, BER	NHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANDREW P. BAINBRIDGE	3754		

	ANDREW P. BAINBRIDGE	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allended non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	112, and Objections. owable if submitted in a separate, t ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5 and 6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/A. P. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754	/Kenneth Bomberg/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3754			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new claims 5 and 6 have been reviewed and the Examiner believes that they represent a corrected version of the corresponding previous claims 2 and 5, and do not add new claim limitations. After reviewing the newly written claims, the Examiner maintains the earlier rejections dated 8/6/2009 as still valid and appropriate, and proposed claims 5 and 6, once entered, would be rejected under the same grounds as the earlier office action dated 8/6/2009 detailed. More specifically, the Examiner believes that Tillander has all of the elements of proposed claim 6 including a connection nipple 19 that constitutes a removable connection to connect the Tillander device to a pressurized air source, and that Sancoff teaches a pressurized air source in the form of a replaceable bag 120 that holds a tablet 119 that when introdued to citric acid 121 produces a gas that serves as a pressurized air souce that can escape through the surrounding membrane 120; this portion of the Sancoff device is readily adaptible to the Tillander device to meet all the limitations of claim 5, including the desired portability and replaceability of the pressurized air source.